Issues with RNNs?

- Sequential nature $\implies$ difficult to parallelize

\[ h_t = f(h_{t-1}, x_t) \in \mathbb{R}^h \]

**LSTMs**

- Input gate (how much to write):
  \[ i_t = \sigma(W^i h_{t-1} + U^i x_t + b^i) \in \mathbb{R}^h \]

- Forget gate (how much to erase):
  \[ f_t = \sigma(W^f h_{t-1} + U^f x_t + b^f) \in \mathbb{R}^h \]

- Output gate (how much to reveal):
  \[ o_t = \sigma(W^o h_{t-1} + U^o x_t + b^o) \in \mathbb{R}^h \]

- New memory cell (what to write):
  \[ g_t = \tanh(W^g h_{t-1} + U^g x_t + b^g) \in \mathbb{R}^h \]

- Final memory cell:
  \[ c_t = f_t \odot c_{t-1} + i_t \odot g_t \]

- Final hidden cell:
  \[ h_t = o_t \odot \tanh(c_t) \]
Issues with RNNs?

- Longer sequences can lead to vanishing gradients $\implies$ It is hard to capture long-distance information

Attention is the key to solving the problem!
This lecture

- Do we really need RNNs to model the arbitrary context?
- Maybe attention is all you need!
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Transformers

- Consists of an encoder and a decoder
- Originally proposed for neural machine translation and later adapted for almost all the NLP tasks
  - For example, BERT only uses the encoder of the Transformer architecture (next lecture)
- Both encoder and decoder consist of $N$ layers
  - Each encoder layer has two sub-layers
  - Each decoder layer has three sublayers
  - Key innovation: multi-head self-attention

Vaswani et al., 2017: Attention Is All You Need
Transformers: roadmap

- From attention to self-attention
- From self-attention to multi-head self-attention
- Transformer encoder
- Transformer decoder
- Putting the pieces together
Recap: Attention in NMT

- Encoder hidden states: $h_1^{enc}, \ldots, h_n^{enc}$
- Decoder hidden state at time $t$: $h_t^{dec}$
- First, get attention scores for this time step of decoder (we’ll define $g$ soon):
  \[
  e^t = [g(h_1^{enc}, h_t^{dec}), \ldots, g(h_n^{enc}, h_t^{dec})]
  \]
- Obtain the attention distribution using softmax:
  \[
  \alpha^t = \text{softmax} (e^t) \in \mathbb{R}^n
  \]
- Compute weighted sum of encoder hidden states:
  \[
  a_t = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha^t_i h_i^{enc} \in \mathbb{R}^h
  \]
- $g(\cdot)$ takes dot product in the simplest form!
Attention is a *general* deep learning technique

- Given a set of vector *values*, and a vector *query*, attention is a technique to compute a weighted sum of the *values*, dependent on the *query*.
  - We sometimes say that the *query* attends to the *values*.
  - In the NMT case, each decoder hidden state (*query*) attends to all the encoder hidden states (*values*).

- Intuition:
  - The weighted sum is a *selective summary* of the information contained in the values, where the *query* determines which *values* to focus on.
  - Attention is a way to obtain a *fixed-size representation* of an arbitrary set of representations (the *values*), dependent on some other representation (the *query*).
Attention is a *general* deep learning technique

- Assume that we have a set of values $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^{d_v}$ and a query vector $q \in \mathbb{R}^{d_q}$

- Attention always involves the following steps:
  - Computing the attention scores $e = g(v_i, q) \in \mathbb{R}^n$
  - Taking softmax to get attention distribution $\alpha$:
    \[
    \alpha = \text{softmax}(e) \in \mathbb{R}^n
    \]
  - Using attention distribution to take weighted sum of values:
    \[
    a = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i v_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d_v}
    \]

- A more general form: use a set of keys and values $(k_1, v_1), \ldots, (k_n, v_n), k_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d_k}, v_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d_v}$, keys are used to compute the attention scores and values are used to compute the output vector
Attention is a general deep learning technique

• Assume that we have a set of key-value pairs \((k_1, v_1), \ldots, (k_n, v_n)\), \(k_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d_k}\), \(v_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d_v}\) and a query vector \(q \in \mathbb{R}^{d_q}\).

• Attention always involves the following steps:
  • Computing the attention scores \(e = g(k_i, q) \in \mathbb{R}^n\).
  • Taking softmax to get attention distribution \(\alpha\):
    \[
    \alpha = \text{softmax}(e) \in \mathbb{R}^n
    \]
  • Using attention distribution to take weighted sum of values:
    \[
    a = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i v_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d_v}
    \]
Self-attention

• We saw attention from the decoder (query) to the encoder (values), now we think about **attention within one single sequence**.
  • Self-attention = attention from the sequence to itself

• Self-attention: let’s use each word in a sequence as the **query**, and all the other words in the sequence as **keys** and **values**.

• The queries, keys and values are drawn from the same source.

Self-attention doesn’t know the order of the inputs - we will come back to this later!
Self-attention in equations

• A self-attention layer maps a sequence of input vectors $\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1}$ to a sequence of $n$ vectors: $\mathbf{y}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{y}_n \in \mathbb{R}^{d_2}$

  • The same abstraction as RNNs - can be used as a drop-in replacement for an RNN layer

• First, construct a set of queries, keys and values:

$$
\mathbf{q}_i = W^Q \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{k}_i = W^K \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{v}_i = W^V \mathbf{x}_i
$$

$$
W^Q \in \mathbb{R}^{d_q \times d_1}, W^K \in \mathbb{R}^{d_k \times d_1}, W^V \in \mathbb{R}^{d_v \times d_1}
$$

• Second, for each $\mathbf{q}_i$, compute attention scores and attention distribution:

$$
\alpha_{i,j} = \text{softmax} \left( \frac{\mathbf{q}_i \cdot \mathbf{k}_j}{\sqrt{d_k}} \right)
$$

aka. “scaled dot product”  
It must be $d_q = d_k$ in this case

• Finally, compute the weighted sum:

$$
\mathbf{y}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{i,j} \mathbf{v}_j \in \mathbb{R}^{d_v}
$$

$d_v = d_2$
Self-attention: illustration

http://jalammar.github.io/illustrated-transformer/
What would be the output vector for the word “Thinking” approximately?

(a) \(0.5v_1 + 0.5v_2\)
(b) \(0.54v_1 + 0.46v_2\)
(c) \(0.88v_1 + 0.12v_2\)
(d) \(0.12v_1 + 0.88v_2\)

(c) is correct.
Self-attention: illustration
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Self-attention: matrix notations

Note: the notations we use here are following the original paper (= the transpose of the matrices in previous notations)

\[
X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d_1}
\]

\[
Q = XW^Q \quad K = XW^K \quad V = XW^V
\]

\[
W^Q \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_q}, \quad W^K \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_k}, \quad W^V \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_v}
\]

\[
\text{Attention}(Q, K, V) = \text{softmax}(\frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{d_k}})V
\]

Q: What is this softmax operation?

http://jalammar.github.io/illustrated-transformer/
Self-Attention

What is self-attention? Self-attention calculates a weighted average of feature representations with the weight proportional to a similarity score between pairs of representations. Formally, an input sequence of $n$ tokens of dimensions $d$, $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$, is projected using three matrices $W_Q \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d_q}$, $W_K \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d_k}$, and $W_V \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d_v}$ to extract feature representations $Q$, $K$, and $V$, referred to as query, key, and value respectively with $d_k = d_q$. The outputs $Q$, $K$, $V$ are computed as

$$Q = X W_Q, \quad K = X W_K, \quad V = X W_V.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

So, self-attention can be written as,

$$S = D(Q, K, V) = \text{softmax} \left( \frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{d_q}} \right) V,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where softmax denotes a row-wise softmax normalization function. Thus, each element in $S$ depends on all other elements in the same row.
Multi-head attention

- It is better to use multiple attention functions instead of one!
  - Each attention function ("head") can focus on different positions.
- How to do this? Use different sets of query, key and value matrices!

http://jalammar.github.io/illustrated-transformer/
Multi-head attention

- It is better to use multiple attention functions instead of one!

- Finally, we just concatenate all the heads and apply an output projection matrix.

\[
\text{MultiHead}(Q, K, V) = \text{Concat} (\text{head}_1, \ldots, \text{head}_h) W^O
\]

\[
\text{head}_i = \text{Attention} (X W_{i}^{Q}, X W_{i}^{K}, X W_{i}^{V})
\]

http://jalammar.github.io/illustrated-transformer/
Multi-head attention

$$\text{MultiHead}(Q, K, V) = \text{Concat}(\text{head}_1, ..., \text{head}_n)W^O$$

$$\text{head}_i = \text{Attention}(XW^Q_i, XW^K_i, XW^V_i)$$

- In practice, we use a reduced dimension for each head.

$$W^Q_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_q}, W^K_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_k}, W^V_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_v}$$

$$d_q = d_k = d_v = d/h \quad d = \text{hidden size, } h = \text{# of heads}$$

$$W^O \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d_2} \quad \text{If we stack multiple layers, usually } d_1 = d_2 = d$$

- The total computational cost is similar to that of single-head attention with full dimensionality.
What does multi-head attention learn?

https://github.com/jessevig/bertviz
Unlike RNNs, self-attention doesn’t build in order information, we need to encode the order of the sentence.

Solution: Add “positional encoding” to the input embeddings

\[ \mathbf{x}_i \leftarrow \mathbf{x}_i + \mathbf{p}_i \]

Use sine and cosine functions of different frequencies (not learnable):

\[ p_i = \begin{bmatrix} \sin(i/10000^{2+1/d}) \\ \cos(i/10000^{2+1/d}) \\ \vdots \\ \sin(i/10000^{2+d/2/d}) \\ \cos(i/10000^{2+d/2/d}) \end{bmatrix} \]

Later, people just use a learnable embedding \( \mathbf{p}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1} \) for every unique position.
Adding nonlinearities

- There is no elementwise nonlinearities in self-attention; stacking more self-attention layers just re-averages value vectors.

- Simple fix: add a feed-forward network to post-process each output vector

\[
\text{FFN}(x_i) = W_2 \text{ReLU}(W_1 x_i + b_1) + b_2
\]

\[
W_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{ff} \times d}, \quad b_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{ff}}
\]

\[
W_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d_{ff}}, \quad b_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{d}
\]

In practice, they use \( d_{ff} = 4d \)
Which of the following statements is correct?

(a) Transformers run faster than LSTMs

(b) Transformers are easier to parallelize compared to LSTMs

(c) Transformers have less parameters compared to LSTMs

(d) Transformers are better at capturing positional information than LSTMs

(b) is correct.
Transformers: pros and cons

- Easier to capture dependencies: we draw attention between every pair of words!

- Easier to parallelize: $Q = X W^Q$, $K = X W^K$, $V = X W^V$
  
  $\text{Attention}(Q, K, V) = \text{softmax}(\frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{d_k}})V$

- Quadratic computation in self-attention:
  - Can become very slow when the sequence length is large

- Are these positional representations enough to capture positional information?
Transformer encoder

Each encoder layer has two sub-layers:
- A multi-head self-attention layer
- A feedforward layer

Add & Norm:
\[
\text{LayerNorm}(x + \text{Sublayer}(x))
\]
- Residual connection (He et al., 2016)
- Layer normalization (Ba et al., 2016)

In (Vaswani et al., 2017), \( N = 6 \)
Transformer decoder

Each decoder layer has three sub-layers:

• A **masked multi-head attention** layer
• A multi-head **cross-attention** layer
• A feedforward layer

**Masked multi-head attention:**
self-attention on the decoder states

However, you can’t see the future!

**Multi-head cross-attention:**
Decoder attends to encoder states

`encoder: keys/values, decoder: queries`

In (Vaswani et al., 2017), $N = 6$
Masked multi-head attention

- Key point: you can’t see the future words for the decoder!

- Solution: for every $q_i$, only attend to $\{(k_j, v_j) \mid j \leq i\}$
### Masked multi-head attention

\[ q_i = W^Q x_i, k_i = W^K x_i, v_i = W^V x_i \]

\[ \alpha_{i,j} = \text{softmax}\left( \frac{q_i \cdot k_j}{\sqrt{d_k}} \right) \]

**Efficient implementation:** compute attention as we normally do, mask out attention to future words by setting attention scores to \(-\infty\)

---

http://peterbloem.nl/blog/transformers
Multi-head cross-attention

\[ q_i = W^Q x_i, \quad k_i = W^K x_i, \quad v_i = W^V x_i \]

\[ \alpha_{i,j} = \text{softmax}\left( \frac{q_i \cdot k_j}{\sqrt{d_k}} \right) \]

\[ q_i = W^Q x_i \quad k_j = W^K h_j, \quad v_j = W^V h_j \]

\[ \alpha_{i,j} = \text{softmax}\left( \frac{q_i \cdot k_j}{\sqrt{d_k}} \right) \]

Q: What is the size of \( \alpha \)?

\[ y_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_{i,j} v_j \]

- \( h_1, \ldots, h_m \): hidden states from encoder
- \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \): hidden states from decoder
Putting the pieces together
Putting the pieces together

Looking back at the whole model, zooming in on an Encoder block:
Putting the pieces together

Looking back at the whole model, zooming in on a Decoder block:

Transformer Encoder

Transformers +

Transformer Encoder

Word Embeddings + Position Representations

[predictions!]

Transformer Decoder

Residual + LayerNorm

Feed-Forward

Residual + LayerNorm

Multi-Head Cross-Attention

Residual + LayerNorm

Masked Multi-Head Self-Attention

Word Embeddings + Position Representations

[input sequence]

[output sequence]
### Transformers: machine translation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>BLEU</th>
<th>Training Cost (FLOPs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EN-DE</td>
<td>EN-FR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ByteNet [15]</td>
<td>23.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep-Att + PosUnk [32]</td>
<td></td>
<td>39.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNMT + RL [31]</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>39.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ConvS2S [8]</td>
<td>25.16</td>
<td>40.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoE [26]</td>
<td>26.03</td>
<td>40.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep-Att + PosUnk Ensemble [32]</td>
<td></td>
<td>40.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNMT + RL Ensemble [31]</td>
<td>26.30</td>
<td>41.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ConvS2S Ensemble [8]</td>
<td>26.36</td>
<td>41.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transformer (base model)</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>38.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transformer (big)</td>
<td><strong>28.4</strong></td>
<td><strong>41.0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Vaswani et al., 2017: Attention Is All You Need
Transformers: document generation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Test perplexity</th>
<th>ROUGE-L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>seq2seq-attention, $L = 500$</td>
<td>5.04952</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transformer-ED, $L = 500$</td>
<td>2.46645</td>
<td>34.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transformer-D, $L = 4000$</td>
<td>2.22216</td>
<td>33.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transformer-DMCA, no MoE-layer, $L = 11000$</td>
<td>2.05159</td>
<td>36.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transformer-DMCA, MoE-128, $L = 11000$</td>
<td>1.92871</td>
<td>37.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transformer-DMCA, MoE-256, $L = 7500$</td>
<td>1.90325</td>
<td>38.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Very large gains compared to seq2seq-attention with LSTMs!

Liu et al., 2018: Generating Wikipedia by Summarizing Long Sequences