```
    Assignment #1
Instructor: Karthik Narasimhan
    100 points
```

Course Policy: Read all the instructions below carefully before you start working on the assignment and before you make a submission. The course assignment policy is available at http://nlp.cs.princeton.edu/cos 484 . When you're ready to submit, please follow the instructions found here: http://bit.ly/COS_NLP_Submission

- This assignment contains 2 parts, a theoretical and a programming part. The former consists of 3 problems, and the latter has 2, for a total of $\mathbf{5}$ problems.
- We highly recommended that you typeset your submissions in $\mathrm{EAT}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$. Use the template provided on the website for your answers. If you have never used $\mathrm{IAT}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$, you can refer to the short guide here: http://bit.ly/WorkingWithLaTeX. Include your name and NetIDs with your submission. If you wish to submit hand-written answers, you can scan and upload the pdf.
- Assignments must be uploaded to Gradescope before class (12:00pm Eastern) on the due date mentioned above.
- As per the late-day policy outlined on the course website, you have 96 allowed late hours (about 4 days) to use at your discretion throughout the semester. Once you run out of late hours, late submissions will incur a penalty of $10 \%$ for each day, up to a maximum of 3 days beyond which submissions will not be accepted.
- All programming problems in this class will be completed in Google Colab using Python. If you would like to get familiar with this environment, you may complete the problems in this introductory Colab notebook (This will not be graded). If you've never worked with Google Colab before, take a look through this introduction guide: http://bit.ly/WorkingWithColab. The answers to the written questions proposed in the programming part should be answered in the same file as the theory questions.


## Problem 1: Math Review

$$
(10+7+3+5=25 \text { points })
$$

Throughout this course, we'll be constantly referencing concepts from linear algebra (e.g. vectors and matrices), probability (e.g. distributions and conditional probability), and calculus (e.g. partial derivatives). The following questions cover some of the core fundamentals that we expect you to be familiar with from the start. If you find yourself struggling with much of this section, you should ask the course staff whether this course is appropriate for you given your background.

### 1.1 Linear Algebra

(a) (5 points) Provide answers to the following operations or write "invalid" if not possible:
(i) $\left[\begin{array}{cc}-7 & 4 \\ 6 & 3\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}-2 \\ 2\end{array}\right]$
(ii) $\left[\begin{array}{cc}-4 & 5 \\ 0 & 8\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}7 & -2\end{array}\right]$
(iii) $\left[\begin{array}{ccc}2 & -1 & 3 \\ 4 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}-2 & 3 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 2\end{array}\right]^{\top}$
(iv) $\left[\begin{array}{lll}2 & 3 & -1\end{array}\right]^{\top}\left[\begin{array}{ll}5 & 6\end{array}\right]^{\top}$
(v) $\left[\begin{array}{lll}5 & 6 & 1\end{array}\right]^{\top}\left[\begin{array}{ll}2 & 3\end{array}\right]$
(b) (5 points) Suppose that we're given two matrices: $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times H}$ and $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times N}$, where $H \neq D \neq N$. What are the output dimension of the following expressions? Write "Invalid" if they cannot be computed.
(i) $\mathrm{x}^{\top} \mathbf{x}$
(ii) $\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^{\top}$
(iii) $\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}$
(iv) $\mathrm{Xw}^{\top}$
(v) $\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{w}$

### 1.2 Expectation and entropy

(a) (1 point) Given a categorical distribution $P(X)$ as follows, compute the value of $\mathbb{E}[X]$.

| $x$ | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P(X=x)$ | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.3 |

(b) (1 point) Given a uniform distribution $P(X=x)=\frac{1}{m}, \forall x \in\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$, compute the value of $\mathbb{E}[X]$.
(c) (1 point) The entropy of a discrete random variable $X$ is defined as (use base $e$ for all $\log$ operations unless otherwise specified):

$$
H(X)=-\sum_{x \in X} P(x) \log P(x)
$$

For the categorical distribution $P(X)$ in problem (a) above, compute the entropy of the distribution.
(d) (1 point) Compute the entropy of the uniform distribution $P(X=x)=\frac{1}{m}, \forall x \in\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$.
(e) (3 points) Consider the entropy of the joint distribution $P(X, Y)$ :

$$
H(X, Y)=-\sum_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in Y} P(x, y) \log P(x, y)
$$

How does this entropy relate to $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{X})$ and $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Y})$, (i.e. the entropies of the marginal distributions) when X and Y are independent?

### 1.3 Bayes' Rule

Suppose that you have two coins in your pocket, one fair coin: $P(H)=P(T)=0.5$, and one unfair coin: $P(H)=0.7$ and $P(T)=0.3$. Suppose that you take one coin from your pocket (each coin equally likely) and flip it.
(a) (1 point) What's the probability that it turns up heads (H)?
(b) (2 points) Suppose that it comes up heads. Given this fact, what's the probability that we picked the unfair coin?

### 1.4 Calculus

Suppose that we define 4 functions:

$$
\begin{gathered}
f(x)=7 x-2 \\
h(x)=\frac{f(x)}{2}+2 \\
\mathcal{L}(x)=-\log \left(h(x)^{2}\right) \\
S(x)=\frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}
\end{gathered}
$$

(a) (1 point) Compute $\frac{d f}{d x}$.
(b) (1 point) Compute $\frac{d h}{d x}$.
(c) (1 point) Compute $\frac{d \mathcal{L}}{d x}$.
(d) (2 points) Show that $\frac{d S}{d x}=S(x)(1-S(x))$.

## Problem 2: Language models and perplexity

$$
(5+5+5+5=20 \text { points })
$$

Assume you are given the following corpus of text:
<s> I like raspberries <e>
<s> You like all berries <e>
<s> I hate sour fruits <e>
<s> You like all sweet fruits <e>
<s> I like chocolate covered raspberries <e>
where <s> and <e> are tokens representing the start and end of a sentence, respectively. In all the following questions, ignore probability of <s>.
(a) Provide the equation for bigram probabilities and estimate all non-zero bigram probabilities for this corpus (split into tokens by whitespace only, e.g raspberries and berries are different tokens). Present the probabilities in a tabular format:

| Bigram | Probability |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{I} \mid\langle\mathrm{s}\rangle)$ | $\cdot$ |
| $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ |

(b) Using the above estimate, provide the probabilities for the sentences <s> You like all berries <e> and <s> You hate sour fruits <e>. Since the former is one of the five sentences in the corpus, would you expect its probability to be $\frac{1}{5}$ ? Why or why not?
(c) For any generic corpus, can you calculate accurate trigram probabilities for all word combinations $\mathbb{P}\left(w_{3} \mid\right.$ $\left.w_{1}, w_{2}\right)$ if you are given all bigram probabilities $-\mathbb{P}\left(w_{3} \mid w_{2}\right)$ (without access to the true bigram/trigram counts)?
(d) Calculate the perplexity of the following corpus using your bigram model. Remember that <s> should not be included when calculating the length of the sentence.
<s> I like all sweet fruits <e>
<s> You like raspberries <e>

## Problem 3: Naive Bayes

$$
(5+5=10 \text { points })
$$

Given the following documents with counts for key sentiment words, with positive or negative class labeled:

| doc | "good" | "poor" | "great" | "terrible" | label |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $D_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | + |
| $D_{2}$ | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | - |
| $D_{3}$ | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | - |
| $D_{4}$ | 1 | 5 | 2 | 0 | - |
| $D_{5}$ | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | + |
| $D_{6}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | - |

(a) Compute a naive Bayes model (with add-1 smoothing) on the above documents and assign a class ( $+/-$ ) to the following sentence:

> great characters and good acting, but terrible plot

You should just use $V=\{$ good, poor, great, terrible $\}$. Provide details for your answer. Note that this problem doesn't require programming.
(b) For sentiment classification and a number of other text classification tasks, whether a word occurs or not matters more than its frequency. A variant of naive Bayes, called binarized naive Bayes, is to clip the word counts in each document at 1 (i.e. if a word appears multiple times in a document, it should be only counted once). Compute a binarized naive Bayes model (with add-1 smoothing) on the same documents and predict the label of the above sentence while providing details for your answer. Which of the two models do you think is better and why?

$$
(5+5+5+10=25 \text { points })
$$

This part will require programming in Python 3. You will explore building and testing a language model with smoothing. To get started, open this Colab notebook.

For all the coding parts below, you should not need to create any new files or notebooks. The notebook has sections where you can fill in the code for all subproblems. Feel free to add and delete arguments in function signatures, but be careful that you might need to change them in function calls which are already present in the notebook.
(a) Complete the functions nltkTokenize and countTopWords. Report the top 10 words ordered by their frequency in the training corpus, both using basicTokenize and nltkTokenize. What differences do you notice between the two?
(b) Using the nltkTokenize function you wrote, make a plot of the frequencies of words in the training corpus, ordered by their rank, i.e. the most frequent word first, the second most word next, and so on on the x axis. Plot only the top 100 most common words to see the trend more clearly. What pattern do you observe in your plot regrading frequency and rank? Do the frequencies follow Zipf's law? (Please fill code in the cell titled "Code for sub-part (a) (b)").

Use the basicTokenize function and bigram language model $(n=2)$ for the following questions.
(c) Train the model and report its perplexity on the train and validation sets. Is the train or val perplexity higher and why? What do you notice about the val perplexity and why is this the case?
(d) Implement Laplace (add- $\alpha$ ) smoothing within the appropriate function provided (computeBigramAddAlpha) and retrain the model. Plot the perplexity on train and validation sets as a function of alpha (with values $\left.10^{-5}, 10^{-4}, 10^{-3}, 10^{-2}, 10^{-1}, 1,10\right)$. What happens to the validation and training perplexity as we increase alpha and why does this happen? What seems to be a good setting for alpha? Provide brief justification. Include your plot in your submission.

This problem will require programming in Python 3. The goal is to build a logistic regression model that you learnt from the class on a real-world sentiment classification dataset. To get started, open this Colab notebook.

The dataset you will be using is collected from movie reviews online. All the examples have been already tokenized and lowercased and each example is labeled as 1 (positive) or 0 (negative). The dataset has been split into a training, a development and a test set.

For all the coding parts below, you should not need to create any new files or notebooks. The notebook has sections where you can fill in the code for all subproblems. Feel free to add and delete arguments in function signatures, but be careful that you might need to change them in function calls which are already present in the notebook.

You will now build the key parts of a Logistic Regression model for sentiment analysis. You are required to implement the trainlr function, LogisticRegressionClassifier class, and code for plotting accuracy when the regularization parameter is varied.

- train_lr: it takes a list of training examples (class SentimentExample) and a feature extractor (class FeatureExtractor) as input and is required to output an instance of LogisticRegressionClassifier.
- LogisticRegressionClassifier: you should at least implement __init__, train, and predict functions. Feel free to add more arguments to __init_- and train but don't change the arguments in predict - it should just take an example ex (class SentimentExample) and predict a label 1 or 0.
- You will probably want to take a look at the UnigramFeatureExtractor and BigramFeatureExtractor classes that we have implemented for you already!
(a) First, implement a logistic regression model without regularization. And then train your model with unigram and bigram features (code for creating features has already been written for you).

Report both training and development accuracy on the dataset. How do you compare the results of the unigram and bigram models? Hint: You might find that batch optimization is too slow. Try to use stochastic gradient descent or (mini-batch) stochastic gradient descent!
(b) Next, we would like to experiment with $l_{2}$ regularization $R(\theta)=\alpha\|\theta\|^{2}$. Plot the accuracy on train and development sets as a function of $\alpha=\left\{0,10^{-2}, 10^{-1}, 1,10\right\}$. You only need to experiment with unigram freatures for this part. Explain what you observe. Does this match what you would expect from regularization? Hint: There are several ways to implement the logistic regression model with regularization, and we will be accepting any correct implementation - regardless of the final model performance.

